

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CRAIG ALFORD,

No. 1:20-CV-01787

Plaintiff,

(Chief Judge Brann)

v.

LEA BAYLOR, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 10th day of March 2023, in accordance with the accompanying Memorandum, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. Plaintiff's motion (Doc. 105) to compel discovery and for sanctions is **DENIED**.
2. Plaintiff's motion (Doc. 110) for declaratory judgment is **DENIED**.
3. Plaintiff's motion (Doc. 112) for summary judgment is **DENIED**.
4. Plaintiff's duplicative motion (Doc. 127) seeking declaratory judgment and summary judgment based on Defendants' alleged failure to respond to his prior motions is **DENIED**.¹

BY THE COURT:

s/ Matthew W. Brann

Matthew W. Brann
Chief United States District Judge

¹ In this motion, Plaintiff asserts that Defendants did not respond to his previous motions as ordered by the Court. That assertion is, of course, directly contradicted by the docket in this case. *See* Docs. 117, 118, 119, 120, 121.